

# Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

<b>Bill Number:</b> 5277 S SB	<b>Title:</b> Disqualification of judges	<b>Agency:</b> 055-Administrative Office of the Courts
-------------------------------	--	--

## Part I: Estimates

**No Fiscal Impact**

*The revenue and expenditure estimates on this page represent the most likely fiscal impact. Responsibility for expenditures may be subject to the provisions of RCW 43.135.060.*

Check applicable boxes and follow corresponding instructions:

- If fiscal impact is greater than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete entire fiscal note form Parts I-V.
- If fiscal impact is less than \$50,000 per fiscal year in the current biennium or in subsequent biennia, complete this page only (Part I).
- Capital budget impact, complete Part IV.

Contact	Phone:	Date: 04/07/2017
Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4012	Date: 04/10/2017
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 04/10/2017
OFM Review: Gwen Stamey	Phone: (360) 902-9810	Date: 04/10/2017

Request # 5277 SSB-1

## **Part II: Narrative Explanation**

### **II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts**

RCWs 4.12.040 and -4.12.050 would be amended to replace the affidavit of prejudice procedure with a notice of disqualification. A party or attorney in an action or proceeding in superior court may disqualify a judge from hearing the matter by filing a notice before the judge has made any discretionary ruling.

There is no impact to the courts or AOC.

### **II. B - Cash Receipts Impact**

### **II. C - Expenditures**

## **Part III: Expenditure Detail**

## **Part IV: Capital Budget Impact**